MAR 2 4 2003 IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Dorothy B. Franks et al.

Serial No.:

09/620,520

Filed:

July 20, 2000

For:

AUTOMATIC IDENTIFICATION OF MEDICAL STAFF TRAINING

**NEEDS** 

§ &

Atty. Docket:

Examiner:

Group Art Unit:

GEMS:0091/YOD

15-SV-5494

3714

John Sotomayor

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING 37 C.F.R. 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on the date below:

March 14, 2003

Date

Helen Tinsley

## RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION MAILED JANUARY 14, 2003

Dear Sir:

In the Office Action, claims 1-28 were rejected. Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections as discussed below in greater detail. Reconsideration and allowance of all pending claims are requested.

## First Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

The Examiner rejected claims 1, 8-10, 23, and 28 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Callahan (U.S. Pat. No. 6,416,328). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

The burden of establishing a *prima facie* case of obviousness falls on the Examiner. Ex parte Wolters and Kuypers, 214 U.S.P.Q. 735 (PTO Bd. App. 1979). Obviousness cannot be established by combining the teachings of the prior art to